Software Engineering (Part 3)

Copyright © 2024 by Robert M. Dondero, Ph.D. Princeton University

Objectives

• We will cover these software engineering topics:

Stages of SW dev

How to order the stages

- Requirements analysis
- Design
- Implementation
- Debugging
- Testing
- Evaluation
- Maintenance
- Process models

Objectives

Software Engineering lecture slide decks:

Part 1	Requirements analysis Design (general)
Part 2	Design (object-oriented) Implementation Debugging
Part 3	Testing Evaluation
Part 4	Maintenance

You're reasonably sure that your code is bug-free. What's next?

Agenda

- Requirements analysis
- Design
- Implementation
- Debugging
- Testing
- Evaluation
- · Maintenance
- Process models

Testing

Debugging: How can I fix the system?
Testing: How can I break the system?

Testing

- Testing taxonomy
 - Internal testing
 - External testing
 - White box
 - Black box
 - General strategies

- Internal testing
 - Designing your code to test itself
 - Done by programmers

- Internal testing techniques
 - Check for function/method failures
 - Validate parameters
 - Check invariants
 - Leave testing code intact!!!

C: assert macro

```
assert(count >= 0);
```

Essentially same as:

```
if (count < 0)
{ fprintf(stderr,
     "assertion failed: (count >= 0),");
    fprintf(stderr,
     "function XXX, file YYY, line ZZZ.");
    exit(134);
}
```

Asserts are enabled by default; to disable asserts:

gcc -D NDEBUG somefile.c

Python: assert statement

assert count >= 0, 'count is < 0'</pre>

Essentially same as:

```
if count < 0:
    raise AssertionError('count is < 0')</pre>
```

Asserts are enabled by default; to disable asserts:

python -O somefile.py

Java: assert statement (since JDK 1.4)

assert count >= 0 : "count is < 0";</pre>

Essentially same as:

```
if (count < 0)
   throw new AssertionError("count is < 0");</pre>
```

Asserts are **disabled** by default; to **enable** asserts:



JavaScript (browsers): console.assert function

console.assert(count >= 0, 'count is < 0');</pre>

Essentially same as:

```
if (count < 0)
    console.log('count is < 0');</pre>
```

Cannot be disabled???

JavaScript (Node.js): assert function

```
const assert = require('assert');
```

•••

assert(count >= 0);

Essentially same as:

```
if (count < 0)
  throw new Error(
    'The expression evaluated to a falsy value');</pre>
```

Cannot be disabled!

 Assert controversy: enable or disable asserts in production code?

Testing: External

- External testing
 - Designing code or data to test your code

- White box external testing
 - External testing with knowledge of structure of tested code
 - Done by programmers

- White box external testing techniques
 - Statement testing
 - Testing to make sure each statement is executed at least once
 - Path testing
 - Testing to make sure each logical path is followed at least once

- White box external testing techniques
 - Boundary (corner case) testing
 - Testing with input values at, just below, and just above limits of input domain
 - Testing with input values causing output values to be at, just below, and just above the limits of the output domain

Glossary of Computerized System and Software Development Terminology

- Tool support for statement testing
 - Python: coverage
 - See Assignments 1-4
 - Another example...

Statement testing of fractionclient.py

- See statementtesting/
 - euclid.py
 - fraction.py
 - fractionclient.py
 - buildandrun
 - buildandrun.bat

Statement testing of fractionclient.py

\$./buildandrun

```
# Create file .coverage
python -m coverage run frac2client.py
Numerator 1: 1
Denominator 1: 2
Numerator 2: 3
Denominator 2: 4
frac1: 1/2
frac2: 3/4
...
```

Statement testing of fractionclient.py

```
...
frac1 hashcode: -3550055125485641917
frac1 does not equal frac2
frac1 is less than frac2
frac1 is less than or equal to frac2
-frac1: -1/2
frac1 + frac2: 5/4
frac1 - frac2: -1/4
frac1 - frac2: 3/8
frac1 / frac2: 2/3
# Create directory htmlcov
python -m coverage html
# View the results, htmlcov/index.html, using a browser
$
```

Statement testing of fractionclient.py

			C	overage report	Chromium							+ >
Coverage report	× +											~
$ \rightarrow$ C \triangle \odot File	/home/rdonde	ro/Dondero	/Princeton/co	os333/fall22/le	tures/21se/code/statementtesti	ng/htmlcov/index.l	html	< 1	☆	*	•	
Coverage report coverage.py v6.4.1, cred		3 23:51 -0500)			filte	er				 1	
			avaludad									
Module	statements	missing	excluded	coverage								
Module euclid.py	statements 14	missing 6	excluded 0	coverage 57%								
			0									
euclid.py	14 53	6	0	57%								

👌 Coveraç	Coverage for euclid.py: 57% - Chromium					,
\rightarrow C	C File /home/rdondero/Dondero/Princeton/cos333/fall22/lectures/21se/code/statementtesting/htmlcov/euclid_py.html	<	☆	*	1	
Cov	erage for euclid.py : 57%]	
	atements 8 run 6 missing 0 excluded					
« рге	/ ^ index » next coverage.py v6.4.1, created at 2022-12-03 23:51 -0500					
1 #!/u	sr/bin/env python					
2						
- "						
	clid.py					
	thor: Bob Dondero					
7						
	gcd(i, j):					
9	J					
LO	if $(i = 0)$ and $(j = 0)$:					
11	raise ZeroDivisionError(
12	'gcd(i,j) is undefined if i and j are 0')					
	i = abs(i)					
	j = abs(j)					
	<pre>while j != 0: # Euclid's algorithm</pre>					
L6 L7	i, j = j, i%j return i					
18						
19 #						
20						
	lcm(i, j):					
22						
23	<pre>if (i == 0) or (j == 0): raise ZeroDivisionError(</pre>					
25	'lcm(i,j) is undefined if i or j is 0')					
	i = abs(i)					
	j = abs(j)					
	return (i // gcd(i, j)) * j					
1						
	/ ^ index » next coverage.py v6.4.1, created at 2022-12-03 23:51 -0500					

	rerage for fraction.py: 91% × +					
\rightarrow	C 🟠 🛈 File /home/rdondero/Dondero/Princeton/cos333/fall22/lectures/21se/code/statementtesting/htmlcov/fraction_py.htm	n <	☆	*		
С	Coverage for fraction.py : 91%					
	3 statements 48 run 5 missing 0 excluded					
æ	prev ^ index » next coverage.py v6.4.1, created at 2022-12-03 23:51 -0500					
1 #	!/usr/bin/env python					
#	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	fraction.py					
#	Author: Bob Dondero					
#.						
	mport euclid					
#.						
) #. 1						
) #. [2 c]	lass Fraction:					
#-	lass Fraction:					
#-	<pre>lass Fraction: definit(self, num=0, den=1):</pre>					
#-	<pre>lass Fraction: definit(self, num=0, den=1): if den == 0:</pre>					
) #. 2 c 1	<pre>lass Fraction: definit(self, num=0, den=1): if den == 0: raise ZeroDivisionError('Denominator cannot be 0')</pre>					
#-	<pre>lass Fraction: definit(self, num=0, den=1): if den == 0: raise ZeroDivisionError('Denominator cannot be 0') selfnum = num</pre>					
) #·	<pre>lass Fraction: definit(self, num=0, den=1): if den == 0: raise ZeroDivisionError('Denominator cannot be 0') selfnum = num selfden = den</pre>					
#-	<pre>lass Fraction: definit(self, num=0, den=1): if den == 0: raise ZeroDivisionError('Denominator cannot be 0') selfnum = num</pre>					
#	<pre>lass Fraction: definit(self, num=0, den=1): if den == 0: raise ZeroDivisionError('Denominator cannot be 0') selfnum = num selfden = den</pre>					
#- c1 	<pre>lass Fraction: definit(self, num=0, den=1): if den == 0: raise ZeroDivisionError('Denominator cannot be 0') selfnum = num selfden = den selfnormalize()</pre>					
#·	<pre>lass Fraction: definit(self, num=0, den=1): if den == 0: raise ZeroDivisionError('Denominator cannot be 0') selfnum = num selfnum = num selfden = den selfnormalize() defnormalize(self):</pre>					
#-	<pre>lass Fraction: definit(self, num=0, den=1): if den == 0: raise ZeroDivisionError('Denominator cannot be 0') selfnum = num selfden = den selfden = den selfnormalize() def _normalize(self): if selfden < 0:</pre>					
	<pre>lass Fraction: definit(self, num=0, den=1): if den == 0: raise ZeroDivisionError('Denominator cannot be 0') selfnum = num selfden = den selfden = den selfnormalize() defnormalize(self): if selfden < 0: selfnum *= -1</pre>					
#-	<pre>lass Fraction: definit(self, num=0, den=1): if den == 0: raise ZeroDivisionError('Denominator cannot be 0') selfnum = num selfden = den selfden = den selfnormalize() defnormalize(self): if selfden < 0: selfnum *= -1 selfden *= -1</pre>					
	<pre>lass Fraction: definit(self, num=0, den=1): if den == 0: raise ZeroDivisionError('Denominator cannot be 0') selfnum = num selfnum = num selfden = den selfden = den selfnormalize() defnormalize(self): if selfden < 0: selfnum *= -1 selfnum *= -1 selfnum == 0:</pre>					
) #. 2 c1 3 - 5 - 5 - 7 - 2 -	<pre>lass Fraction: definit(self, num=0, den=1): if den == 0: raise ZeroDivisionError('Denominator cannot be 0') selfnum = num selfden = den selfden = den selfnormalize() defnormalize(self): if selfden < 0: selfden *= -1 selfden *= -1 if selfnum == 0: selfden = 1</pre>					
#	<pre>lass Fraction: definit(self, num=0, den=1): if den == 0: raise ZeroDivisionError('Denominator cannot be 0') self.num = num selfden = den selfden = den selfnormalize() defnormalize(self): if selfden < 0: selfden <= 0: selfnum *= -1 selfden *= -1 if selfnum == 0: selfden = 1 else:</pre>					
) # .	<pre>lass Fraction: definit(self, num=0, den=1): if den == 0: raise ZeroDivisionError('Denominator cannot be 0') selfnum = num selfden = den selfden = den selfnormalize() defnormalize(self): if selfden < 0: selfnum *= -1 selfden *= -1 if selfden *= -1 if selfden = 1 else: gcden = euclid.gcd(selfnum, selfden)</pre>					

Course	Coverage for fractionclient.py: 88% - Chromium	-	+
lovera	age for fractionchenc.py: × +		
\rightarrow	C 🟠 🔅 File /home/rdondero/Dondero/Princeton/cos333/fall22/lectures/21se/code/statementtesting/htmlcov/fractionclient < 🛧 🏚		
fra	ctionclient.py: 88% 38 5 0		
	f main():		
12	try:		
14	ciy.		
15	line = input('Numerator 1: ')		
16	numl = int(line)		
17	<pre>line = input('Denominator 1: ')</pre>		
18	<pre>den1 = int(line)</pre>		
19	<pre>line = input('Numerator 2: ')</pre>		
20	<pre>num2 = int(line)</pre>		
21	<pre>line = input('Denominator 2: ')</pre>		
22	<pre>den2 = int(line)</pre>		
23			
24	<pre>frac1 = fraction.Fraction(num1, den1) print(lfract) = fraction(num1, den1) print(lfract) = fraction(num1, den1)</pre>		
25 26	<pre>print('fracl:', str(fracl)) # Same as frac1str()</pre>		
27	<pre>frac2 = fraction.Fraction(num2, den2)</pre>		
28	<pre>print('frac2:', frac2) # print() calls str(frac2)</pre>		
29	# Same as frac2. str ()		
30			
31	<pre>print('fracl hashcode:', hash(fracl)) # Same as frac1. hash ()</pre>		
32	 An address of the standard sector sector sector of the standard sector of the		
33	<pre>if frac1 == frac2: # Same as frac1eq_(frac2)</pre>		
34	<pre>print('frac1 equals frac2')</pre>		
35	<pre>if frac1 != frac2: # Same as frac1ne_(frac2)</pre>		
36	<pre>print('frac1 does not equal frac2')</pre>		
37	<pre>if frac1 < frac2: # Same as frac1lt_(frac2)</pre>		
38	<pre>print('fracl is less than frac2')</pre>		
39	if frac1 > frac2: # Same as frac1. gt (frac2)		
40	print('fracl is greater than frac2')		
41	<pre>if frac1 <= frac2: # Same as frac1le_(frac2) print()[frac1 is loss than ar anya] to frac2))</pre>		
42	<pre>print('frac1 is less than or equal to frac2') if frac1 >= frac2: # Same as frac1. ge (frac2)</pre>		0
43 44	<pre>print('frac1 is greater than or equal to frac2')</pre>		
44	print(fract is greater than of equal to fracz)		
46	<pre>frac3 = -frac1 # Same as frac1. neg ()</pre>		

Language	Statement Testing Tool
Python	coverage
Java	JaCoCo *
С	gcov *
JavaScript (browser)	istanbul
JavaScript (Node.js)	istanbul

* See me if you want an example

Testing: External: Black Box

- · Black box external testing
 - External testing without knowledge of structure of tested code
 - Done by quality assurance (QA) engineers

Testing: External: Black Box

• Black box external testing techniques

- Use case testing
 - Testing driven by use cases developed during design
- Stress testing
 - Testing with a large quantity of data
 - Testing with a large variety of (random?) data

- General testing strategies
 - Automate the testing
 - To test your programs: create scripts
 - To test your **modules**: create software **clients**
 - Compare implementations when possible

- Tool support for automating testing
 - Python: PyUnit
 - Example...

- Automated testing of fraction.py
 - See testautomationgood/
 - euclid.py
 - fraction.py
 - testfraction.py
 - Instead of fractionclient.py
 - Uses PyUnit
 - buildandrun
 - buildandrun.bat

\$./buildandrun
Run unit tests python testfraction.py
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ran 5 tests in 0.000s
ОК \$

- Automated testing of fraction.py
 - See testautomationbad/
 - euclid.py
 - fraction.py
 - Contains a logic error
 - testfraction.py
 - buildandrun
 - buildandrun.bat

\$./buildandrun

```
# Run unit tests
python testfraction.py
. . F . .
_____
FAIL: runTest ( main .MulTestCase)
Traceback (most recent call last):
 File "testfraction.py", line 35, in runTest
    self.assertEqual(prod, expected, 'Incorrect product')
AssertionError: <frac2.Fraction object at 0x103be6940> !=
<frac2.Fraction object at 0x103be6f40> : Incorrect product
Ran 5 tests in 0.001s
FAILED (failures=1)
$
```

Testing: General Strategies

Language	Test Automation Tool
Python	PyUnit
Python (PyQt5)	pytestqt *
Java	JUnit *
С	CUnit *
JavaScript (browser)	Mocha
JavaScript (Node.js)	Mocha
Web apps	Selenium

* See me if you want an example

Testing: General Strategies

- · General testing strategies (cont.)
 - Test incrementally
 - Use scaffolds and stubs
 - Do regression testing
 - Let debugging drive testing
 - Reactive mode
 - Proactive mode: do fault injection

Testing: Summary

- Testing taxonomy
 - Internal testing
 - External testing
 - White box
 - Black box
 - General strategies

You've tested your code to make sure it meets your expectations. What's next?

Agenda

- Requirements analysis
- Design
- Implementation
- Debugging
- Testing
- Evaluation
- Maintenance
- Process models

Evaluation

- Testing
 - Does the system meet your (the programmer's) expectations?
- Evaluation
 - Does the system meet the users' expectations?
 - Does the system fulfill the needs of its users?

Evaluation

- Kinds of evaluation
 - By users
 - Actually, by software engineers in collaboration with users
 - By evaluation experts

- Questionnaires
- Interviews
- Focus groups
- Direct observation

Recall requirements gathering techniques

- Conducting interviews
 - (1) Recruit a set of users
 - (2) If necessary, compose a short written intro to your system
 - (3) Compose a written task sequence
 - Maybe *abstracted from* use cases developed during design

- Conducting interviews (cont.)
 - (4) For each user:
 - (4.1) If necessary, give the user the short intro, ask the user to read it, and confirm that the user understands it
 - (4.2) Give the user the task sequence
 - (4.3) For each task:
 - (4.3.1) Ask the user to read the task and confirm that the users understands it
 - (4.3.2) Ask the user to use your system to perform the task
 - (4.3.3) Ask (force!!!) the user to talk aloud while performing the task

- Conducting interviews (cont.)
 - (5) Take copious notes
 - (6) Audio/video record?
 - (7) Repeat for each kind of user



Jakob Nielsen

- Heuristic Evaluation
 - From Jakob Nielsen
 - For evaluating the whole system generally
 - Using these 10 heuristics...

- Heuristic Evaluation
 - (1) Visibility of system status
 - The system should always keep users informed about what is going on, through appropriate feedback within reasonable time.

Nielsen, Jakob. Usability Engineering. Academic Press. 1994.

- Heuristic Evaluation
 - (2) Match between system and the real world
 - The system should speak the user's language, with words, phrases and concepts familiar to the user, rather than system-oriented terms. Follow real-world conventions, making information appear in a natural and logical order.

- Heuristic Evaluation
 - (3) User control and freedom
 - Users often choose system functions by mistake and will need a clearly marked "emergency exit" to leave the unwanted state without having to go through an extended dialogue. Support undo and redo.

- Heuristic Evaluation
 - (4) Consistency and standards
 - Users should not have to wonder whether different words, situations, or actions mean the same thing. Follow platform conventions.

Nielsen, Jakob. Usability Engineering. Academic Press. 1994.

- Heuristic Evaluation
 - (5) Error prevention
 - Even better than good error messages is a careful design which prevents a problem from occurring in the first place. Either eliminate error-prone conditions or check for them and present users with a confirmation option before they commit to the action.

- Heuristic Evaluation
 - (6) Recognition rather than recall
 - Minimize the user's memory load by making objects, actions, and options visible. The user should not have to remember information from one part of the dialogue to another. Instructions for use of the system should be visible or easily retrievable whenever appropriate.

- Heuristic Evaluation
 - (7) Flexibility and efficiency of use
 - Accelerators—unseen by the novice user—may often speed up the interaction for the expert user such that the system can cater to both inexperienced and experienced users. Allow users to tailor frequent actions.

Nielsen, Jakob. Usability Engineering. Academic Press. 1994.

- Heuristic Evaluation
 - (8) Aesthetic and minimalist design
 - Dialogues should not contain information which is irrelevant or rarely needed. Every extra unit of information in a dialogue competes with the relevant units of information and diminishes their relative visibility.

Nielsen, Jakob. Usability Engineering. Academic Press. 1994.

- Heuristic Evaluation
 - (9) Help users recognize, diagnose, and recover from errors
 - Error messages should be expressed in plain language (no codes), precisely indicate the problem, and constructively suggest a solution.

Heuristic Evaluation

- (10) Help and documentation

 Even though it is better if the system can be used without documentation, it may be necessary to provide help and documentation. Any such information should be easy to search, focused on the user's task, list concrete steps to be carried out, and not be too large.

- For more info on heuristic evaluation:
 - Wikipedia article: <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Heuristic_evalua</u> <u>tion</u>
 - Helen Sharp, Jenny Preece, Yvonne Rogers.
 Interaction Design: Beyond
 Human-Computer Interaction.
 - Nielsen, Jakob. Usability Engineering.

- Cognitive Walkthrough
 - From Cathleen Wharton, Jakob Nielsen
 - For evaluating part of the system in detail

Repeatedly:

Will the correct action be sufficiently evident to the user?

Will the user know what to do to achieve the task?

Will the user notice that the correct action is available?

Can users see the button or menu item that they should use for the next action?

Will the user associate and interpret the response from the action correctly?

Will users know from the feedback that they have made the correct or incorrect choice of action?

Yvonne Rogers, Helen Sharp, Jenny Preece. *Interaction Design: Beyond Human-Computer Interaction (3rd Edition)*. Wiley, 2011.

So the system is finished. Or is it?

Continued in Software Engineering (Part 4)...